ORIGINAL



1

ONTEDA

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CAPITALISMON

2 9

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman

4 GARY PIERCE

PAUL NEWMAN

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

BOB STUMP

2010 SEP -3 P 1: 22

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

6

5

7

8

IN THE MATTER OF:

RADICAL BUNNY, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company,

HORIZON PARTNERS, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company,

TOM HIRSCH (aka TOMAS N. HIRSCH) and DIANE ROSE HIRSCH, husband and wife,

BERTA FRIEDMAN WALDER (aka BUNNY WALDER), a married person,

14 HOWARD EVAN WALDER, a married person,

HARISH PANNALAL SHAH and MADHAVI H. SHAH, husband and wife,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20660A-09-0107

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

SEP 3 2010

DOCKETED BY

20064

PROCEDURAL ORDER MODIFYING HEARING DATES

BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 12, 2009, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing against Radical Bunny, L.L.C., Horizon Partners, L.L.C., Tom Hirsch (aka Tomas N. Hirsch), Berta Friedman Walder (aka Bunny Walder), Howard Evan Walder, Harish Pannalal Shah, and Madhavi H. Shah "(Notice"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of notes and investment contracts.

On March 26, 2009, a request for hearing was filed on behalf of Horizon Partners, L.L.C., Tom Hirsch, Diane Rose Hirsch, Berta Friedman Walder, Howard Evan Walder, Harish Pannalal Shah and Madhavi H. Shah ("Respondents").

On April 28, 2010, the Commission issued Decision No. 71682, a Consent Order against

1

Respondent Radical Bunny, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company.

On April 30, 2010, a Motion of Summary Judgment or to Dismiss (Oral Argument Requested) ("Motion to Dismiss"); a Statement of Facts; and a Declaration of Tom Hirsch were filed on behalf of the Respondents.

On May 10, 2010, the Division filed its Response to the Motion.

By Procedural Order issued May 19, 2010, oral argument on the Motion was scheduled to be held during the May 25, 2010 Procedural Conference.

On May 20, 2010, the Respondents filed their Reply on Motion for Summary Judgment.

The May 25, 2010 Procedural Conference was held as scheduled and oral argument was heard on the Motion.

On May 27, 2010, the Division filed a Notice of Availability for Administrative Hearing and on July 13, 2010, the Division filed a Motion to Set Procedural (Status) Conference.

By Procedural Order issued August 2, 2010, the Motion to Dismiss was denied and new dates for hearing were set.

On August 31, 2010, the Division filed a Motion to Reschedule Additional Administrative Hearing Dates of October 29 – November 2, 2010.

In addition to the dates that the Division is unavailable, there are other dates that need to be modified due to the Commission's schedule and hearing room availability. Accordingly, new dates for the additional days of hearing should be set.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the hearing shall commence on October 14, 2010 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as is practicable at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room 1, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall set aside October 15, 18, 21, 22, 25, and 26, and November 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 19 and 22, 2010 for additional days of hearing, if necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other provisions of the August 2, 2010 Procedural Order remain in effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding as the matter is now set for public hearing.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance 2 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances 3 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is 4 5 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules 8 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to practice of law and admission pro 9 hac vice. 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. 11 12 day of September, 2010. DATED this 13 14 15 16 MOMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 17 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 3¹/₂ day of September, 2010 to: 18 19 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. Michael J. LaVelle 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 LAVELLE & LAVELLE 20 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481 2525 East Camelback Road, Suite 888 Phoenix, AZ 85016 21 Attorney for Respondents 22 Jordan Kroop 23 Two Renaissance Square 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2700 24 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4498 25 Matt Neubert, Director 26 Securities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 27 1300 West Washington Street

Phoenix, AZ 85007

28

to Lyn Farmer